ERP abnormalities elicited by word repetition in fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS) and amnestic MCI
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A B S T R A C T

Background: Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS), a neurodegenerative disorder caused by FMR1 gene premutations, typically associated with frontal-subcortical type cognitive impairments. High prevalence (~50%) of superimposed Alzheimer’s pathology has been reported in FMR1 premutation carriers, and standardized neuropsychological tests have not yielded any robust discriminators between FXTAS and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) dementia. The similarities/differences in memory processes between FXTAS and early AD remain underexplored.

Methods: 32-channel event-related potentials (ERPs) were obtained from a semantic judgment task in which semantically congruous (50%) and incongruous pairs repeat pseudorandomly. The N400 and late positive component (LPC) of 25 FXTAS patients (Mage = 71.2, MMSE = 26.6) were compared to a matched group of 25 patients with MCI or early AD (1 mild AD dementia, 24 amnestic MCI, of whom 18 later converted to AD; Mage = 73.4, MMSE = 26.4), and 25 healthy elderly.

Results: Both patient groups showed similar reductions in the N400 repetition effect and N400 congruity effect amplitudes, compared to controls, reflecting abnormal semantic priming and repetition priming. The MCI/AD group, however, had significantly smaller LPC word repetition effects and poorer learning and memory on the CVLT than FXTAS. The LPC and N400 repetition effects both correlated with verbal memory across all subjects, but only N400 correlated with memory in FXTAS.

Conclusion: FXTAS patients show relative sparing of the LPC repetition effect, and less disruption of explicit memory than prodromal/early AD. N400 abnormalities in FXTAS appear to account for much of their mild impairments in verbal learning and memory.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS) is a neurodegenerative disorder caused by premutation CGG expansion (ranging from 55 to 200 repeats) of the fragile X mental retardation 1 (FMR1) gene (Hagerman & Hagerman, 2013). FXTAS is characterized by intention tremor, cerebellar ataxia, peripheral neuropathy, and cognitive impairments progressing to a mixed “frontal-subcortical” type dementia in up to 50% of older males.
Neuropathological examination shows numerous eosinophilic mRNA-containing intranuclear inclusions in neurons and astrocytes of FXTAS brain (Greco et al., 2002, 2006). A recent neuropathological case series of 8 autopsied female premutation carriers (6 with FXTAS) reported Alzheimer disease (AD)-type neuropathology in 4 women (50%) — including one woman without clinical AD symptoms, suggesting a high prevalence of AD-type neuropathology in association with the FMR1 premutation (Tassone et al., 2012). It is also the case that the predilection sites for the intranuclear inclusions in FXTAS have considerable overlap (e.g., hippocampus) with the distribution of AD pathology (Greco et al., 2006; Braak & Braak, 1991; Zhou et al., 2010). Studies employing standardized neuropathological tests have found comparable deficits in working memory, verbal fluency and language between FXTAS dementia and AD, but somewhat more preserved attention abilities in FXTAS dementia than AD (Seritan et al., 2008). However, the similarities and differences between semantic memory and episodic memory processes between individuals with FXTAS and AD remain largely unknown.

Event-related potentials (ERPs), comprised primarily of summated excitatory and inhibitory post-synaptic potentials, have an exquisite temporal resolution, which may be useful in differentiating early-stage (relatively automatic) vs. late-stage (controlled) memory processes (Nunez & Srinivasan, 2006). In this study, we characterized and compared two well-studied cognitive ERP components relevant to semantic (N400) and episodic/declarative (LPC) memory processes in mild FXTAS and early AD. The late positive component (LPC), sometimes termed “P600”, has been linked to both memory encoding and recognition processes (Paller & Kutas, 1992; Rugg & Curran, 2007). The LPC repetition effect amplitude correlates with episodic verbal memory ability (Olichney et al., 2000, 2002, 2010a). The N400 amplitude is closely related to semantic processing load and is sensitive to repetition priming and semantic priming (Chwilla, Brown, & Hagoort, 1995; Kutas & Federmeier, 2011).

Patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) typically convert to AD dementia at a rate of ~ 15% per year (Petersen et al., 1999). Our prior studies have demonstrated the sensitivity of N400 and LPC word repetition effects to prodromal AD, i.e. individuals with amnestic MCI who subsequently converted to AD dementia (Olichney et al., 2006, 2008). The conversion rate from MCI to dementia appears much higher (~3 to 8-fold) in those with abnormal ERP word repetition effects (Olichney et al., 2008). Investigation with the same ERP paradigm in FXTAS found significantly reduced N400 repetition effect amplitude, but relatively intact LPC repetition effects (Olichney et al., 2010a). In the present study, we compared a FXTAS patient group to a patient group who predominantly had prodromal AD, matched on global cognitive impairment. We hypothesized that, similar to our prior studies, the FXTAS patient group would show less severe decrements in the LPC repetition effect compared to MCI/early AD, which may reflect less severe rapid forgetting. We also investigated the relationship between LPC and N400 repetition effects and verbal learning and memory on the CVLT, across all subjects and within each patient group.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Participants

2.1.1. Patients

Twenty-five patients with mild-or-moderate FXTAS (Mean FXTAS stage = 3.4 ± 1.1, range = 2–5; 2 met DSM-IV-TR criteria for dementia) (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) and 25 patients with amnestic MCI or early AD (18 MCI converters, 6 amnestic MCI who have not yet developed dementia, 1 with probable AD dementia of mild severity) were studied. Informed consent was obtained for each participant following protocols approved by the University of California Davis Institutional Review Board or the University of California San Diego Human Research Protection Program. FXTAS was diagnosed following published criteria (Jacquemont et al., 2003). All 24 MCI patients met Peterson criteria for amnestic MCI (Petersen et al., 1999). The two patient groups were selected, matched (+/- 1 point) on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score, with secondary considerations given to gender, age, and educational level (Table 1). The majority of the participants were male (15 MCI/AD, 18 FXTAS). Participants with CNS-active medications for dementia, anxiety, depression, or neuropathic pain were not excluded because these medications have a high prevalence of use in representative samples of FXTAS. Fourteen FXTAS patients were on CNS-active medications (most

### Table 1
Demographics and behavioral data: Mean (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MCI/AD</th>
<th>FXTAS</th>
<th>p value* (FXT vs. MCI/AD)</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>p value (3-group ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>73.4 (7.1)</td>
<td>71.9 (6.8)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>69 (10.0)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>15 M/10 F</td>
<td>18 M/7 F</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>17 M/8 F</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>16.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>16.2 (3.4)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>16.7 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness</td>
<td>24 R/11 L</td>
<td>23 R/2 L</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>24 R/1 L</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>26.6 (1.7)</td>
<td>26.4 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>28.4 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task accuracy (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congruous items</td>
<td>98.1 (1.7)</td>
<td>98.8 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>99.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incongruous items</td>
<td>98.9 (1.4)</td>
<td>97.9 (4.3)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>99.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All items</td>
<td>98.6 (1.4)</td>
<td>98.4 (2.8)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>99.7 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVLT measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trials 1–5</td>
<td>272 (10.5)</td>
<td>36 (12.7)</td>
<td>0.034*</td>
<td>56.5 (11.6)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD-CR</td>
<td>5.3 (3.2)</td>
<td>8.0 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>12.7 (2.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD-CR</td>
<td>4.5 (3.2)</td>
<td>8.2 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>12.3 (2.4)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discriminability</td>
<td>70.5 (17.1)</td>
<td>84.0 (14.8)</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
<td>93.5 (6.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001****</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-values are from post-hoc tests with Bonferroni correction performed on continuous variables or from chi-square tests performed on categorical variables (i.e., sex, handedness).

CVLT = California Verbal Learning Test, SD = short delay, LD = long delay, CR = cued recall.

**P < 0.05.

***P < 0.01.

****P < 0.001.
commonly citalopram, memantine and donepezil). Seven of the MCI/AD patients were on CNS-active medications (e.g., donepezil, sertraline).

2.1.2. Normal controls

Twenty-five cognitively normal older controls were also included. All were community-dwelling paid volunteers. The normal control (NC) group was selected to be comparable to the patients with respect to age, education, gender and handedness (Table 1). Three controls were taking CNS-active medications.

All participants were given extensive clinical research evaluations, including medical and neurological history, neurological examination, and a neuropsychological test battery (for details, please see Olichney et al. (2006); Grigsby et al. (2008)), which included the California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT) (Delis, Kramer, Kaplan, & Ober, 1987). Evaluations were performed either at the M. I.N.D. Institute, the UC Davis Alzheimer’s Disease Center, or at the Shiley-Marcos ADRC at UC San Diego (UCSD).

2.2. Experimental procedure

Participants were fitted with an electrode cap and seated about 100 cm from a computer monitor. Category statements were read aloud, each followed approximately one second later by a visually-presented target word (visual angle ~0.4°). Subjects were instructed to wait three seconds following the appearance of the target word, then say the perceived word aloud and whether it was an exemplar of the defined category or not. Three blocks of ERPs were recorded, each containing 144 trials and lasting slightly over 20 min.

2.2.1. Experimental stimuli

The stimuli were 216 spoken phrases each describing a category (e.g., “a female relative”), and followed by a target word (Olichney et al., 2000). The semantically congruous target words, making up 50% of the target words, were medium-typicality category exemplars (e.g. “sister” after “a female relative”). The other 50% of the target words were concrete nouns “incongruous” to their category (e.g. “freeze” after “a type of ship”), but matched to the congruous targets for length and frequency of usage (Francis & Kucera, 1982).

Subjects were assigned to one of three counterbalanced stimulus lists, each of which contained 36 congruous targets presented once, 36 presented twice, 36 presented three times, and equal numbers of incongruous words presented with the same underlying repetition patterns, for a total of 432 trials. Half of the target stimuli were new words, and half were repeated. Words that were repeated always appeared after the same category statement as on the initial presentation. For words repeated once, the lag between presentations was 0–3 trials (approximately 10–40 s) and for doubly repeated words, lag for both second and third presentations was 10–13 trials (approximately 120 s).

2.2.2. Electroencephalological recording

Electroencephalogram (EEG) was recorded from tin electrodes embedded in an electrode cap (Electrocap, Eaton, and OH) from lateral frontal (F3, F4, F7, F8, FC1, FC2, FP1, and FP2), midline (Fz, Cz, Pz, and POz), temporal (T5, and T6), parietal (P3, P4, CP1, and CP2), and occipital sites (O1, O2, P07, and P08) defined by the international 10–20 system. Additional sites included pairs which approximate Broca’s area (Bl), Wernicke’s area, (Wl) and their right hemisphere homologs (Br, Wr), and an electrode pair 33% of the interaural distance lateral to Cz over the superior temporal lobe (41L, 41R). All scalp electrodes were referenced online to the left mastoid electrode, then re-referenced offline to an average of the left and right mastoids. Electro-oculogram recording from four electrodes (one below and one at the outer canthus of each eye) monitored both vertical and horizontal eye movements. EEG was recorded with a Nicolet SM2000 digital amplifier, using 0.016–100 Hz band pass and a 250 Hz sampling rate. Identical amplifiers, filter settings, electrodes and EEG montages were used at both sites (UCSD and UC Davis).

2.3. Data analyses

ERPs to the visual target words were averaged after off-line rejection of trials contaminated by eye movements, blinks, or other artifacts. Trials were most commonly rejected due to eye-blinks.

The N400 congruity effect amplitude (ERPs to new incongruous vs. new congruous words) was analyzed using split-plot ANOVAs with the between-subjects factor of Group and two within-subjects factors: Congruity and Electrode (28 scalp sites) between 300 and 550 ms. For the N400 word repetition effect (ERPs to new vs. old incongruous words), mean amplitudes between 300 and 550 ms post-target-word onset were submitted to split-plot ANOVAs with the between-subjects factor of group and two within-subjects factors: Repetition (New/Old) and Electrode. The LPC word repetition effect (ERPs to new vs. old congruous words) was analyzed using split-plot ANOVAs of mean amplitude with the between-subjects factor of Group and three within-subjects factors: Repetition (New/Old), Latency (300–550 ms/550–800 ms) and Electrode. All ERP repetition and congruity effects were first analyzed in 3-group ANOVAs (with NC group included) and significant group differences were then followed-up with 2-group ANOVAs (FXTAS vs. MCI/AD) to determine if there were any significant differences between the two patient groups. Two-tailed p-values of ≤0.05 were considered significant.

ANOVA’s were also performed on the CVLT data, and two-tailed p-values of ≤0.05 were considered significant for the overall effect of group. Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons was applied to post-hoc tests which compared 2 of the 3 subject groups to each other (e.g. FXTAS vs. MCI/AD).

Forward-step linear regressions were performed to test which ERP word repetition effect amplitudes (LPC repetition effect and/or N400 repetition effect amplitude) best predicted each of the main verbal learning and memory scores on the CVLT (all measures in Table 1, plus Free Recall scores at short delay and long delay). The ERP measures, age and sex were allowed to enter the model if p-values ≤0.05, and subsequently removed if p > 0.10.

3. Results

3.1. Behavioral results

Accuracy on the category decision task was near ceiling (≥98%) in all 3 groups for both congruous items and incongruous items (Table 1). There was a significant main effect of group for accuracy (p<0.03) and post-hoc t-tests showed lower accuracy in FXTAS than controls (p=0.04), but no significant difference between controls and MCI/AD (p=0.12), or between MCI/AD and FXTAS (p=1.0).

The group data for the main CVLT measures of verbal learning and memory performance are summarized in Table 1. We found significant group differences on all these measures, with impaired performance in both patient groups. The MCI/AD group performed even poorer than the FXTAS group. It should be noted that the FXTAS group had particularly severe impairments (approximately 2 standard deviations (SD) below the NC group) in acquisition (Trials 1–5 on list A) and short delay recall. However, they showed
Fig. 1. The N400 Congruity Effect. (A) Grand average ERPs to congruous new (solid line) and incongruous new target words (dashed line) in 3 groups. The NC group's N400 peak to incongruous new words is labeled 'N4' in the right Wernicke's (Wr) channel tracing. (B) Scalp topography maps of N400 congruity effect (voltage difference to new incongruous-congruous words) in 3 groups. L=left, R=right.

Fig. 2. Mean amplitudes and standard errors (SE) for: (A), N400 congruity effect amplitude (300–550 ms, at right Wernicke's (Wr) electrode); (B), N400 repetition effect amplitude (300–550 ms at electrode T6) and (C) LPC repetition effect amplitude (550–800 ms, at electrode Pz).
no decrement in cued recall from short delay (mean=8.0) to long delay (mean=8.2) (20 min later), unlike the MCI/AD group (see Table 1).

For the control group, 28.7% of EEG trials were rejected due to artifacts. The two patient groups had comparable, but significantly higher than controls, rejection rates (FXTAS=48.8%, MCI/AD=48.3%, \( p=0.99 \); patients vs controls: \( p < 0.001 \)).

### 3.2. New words-N400 congruity effect

Fig. 1 shows ERPs elicited by new congruous target and incongruous target words in the controls, FXTAS and MCI/AD groups. The congruity effect (the difference between ERPs to the new congruous and new incongruous words) had a right temporal maximum, which began at about 300 ms post-stimulus and became smaller after \( \approx 550 \) ms in all three groups. The congruity effect appeared largest in the NC group.

A 3-group ANOVA found a significant Group \( \times \) Congruity interaction for the N400 congruity effect (\( F=5.64, p=0.005 \)). There was also a significant Group \( \times \) Electrode interaction (\( F=2.69, \epsilon=0.22, p=0.01 \)) indicating group differences in the ERP scalp topographies. The NC group’s N400 congruity effect was largest over the right Wernicke’s area electrode, but was also evident at anterior electrodes, whereas the patient groups showed more posteriorly restricted tempo-occipital congruity effects (which could be due to smaller amplitudes overall, as Urbach and Kutas (2002) pointed out). (see Figs. 1 and 2A for comparison at channel Wr). For N400 amplitude, there was a significant main effect of Congruity (\( F=24.2, p<0.0001 \), but no main effect of Group (\( F=2.25, p=0.11 \)).

Follow-up 2-group ANOVAs comparing the N400 congruity effect between the MCI/AD and FXTAS groups found no significant Congruity \( \times \) Group interaction (\( F=1.24, p=0.27 \), see also Fig. 2A), no main effect of group (\( F=0.08, p=0.77 \)), or any other significant interactions involving group (\( ps \geq 0.49 \)).

### 3.3. N400 repetition effect for incongruous words

The grand-average ERPs and topography maps in Fig. 3 shows the N400 repetition effect (the difference between ERPs to initial
and repeated presentations of incongruous target words). In normal controls, there was a smaller N400 elicited by repeated incongruous words compared to their initial presentation. This N400 repetition effect had a right > left bi-temporal distribution (Fig. 3B) similar to the N400 congruity effect. The N400 repetition effect was smaller and less wide spread in both FXTAS and MCI/AD groups. While all 3 groups had a right posterior maximum during the later portion of the N400 repetition effect (see 400–550 ms maps in Fig. 3B), NC subjects also showed a fronto-central distribution from 300–400 ms, which resembles the FN400 potential, sometimes attributed to familiarity-related memory processes (Rugg & Curran, 2007). A 3-group ANOVA of the N400 repetition effect revealed a significant main effect of Repetition (F = 24.26, p < 0.0001), as well as a Group × Repetition interaction (F = 12.49, p < 0.0001) that warranted follow-up 2-group comparisons. A significant Repetition × Electrode interaction was observed (F = 2.70, p = 0.03), indicating the predominantly posterior distribution of the N400 repetition effect observed in all subject groups (Fig. 3A and B). However, there were no significant Group × Electrode (F = 0.45, ε = 0.17, p = 0.64), nor Group × Electrode × Repetition interactions (F = 1.42, ε = 0.29, p = 0.23). In the ANOVA comparing the two patient groups’ N400 repetition effect, there was no significant Group × Repetition interaction (F = 0.00, p = 0.96) (Figs. 2B and 3A), nor main effect of Group (F = 0.00, p = 0.99).  

3.4. Congruous words- late positive component (LPC) repetition effect  

The grand-average ERPs in Fig. 4A show that an LPC repetition effect, with larger positive voltage to new than old congruous words, was present in both normal and FXTAS groups. This effect was larger in the midline channels (see Fz, Cz and Pz in Fig. 4A), started at about 300 ms, peaked at 500–600 ms, and persisted past 800 ms after stimulus onset. In contrast, there was no reliable LPC repetition effect in the MCI/AD group. The LPC repetition effect amplitude in FXTAS group was intermediate to that of the control and MCI/AD groups. The scalp topography (Fig. 4B) depicts the significantly smaller LPC repetition effect in MCI/AD compared to the FXTAS group.  

In the 3-group ANOVA of LPC repetition effect, the Group × Repetition interaction was highly significant (F = 6.48, p = 0.003), indicating larger mean LPC repetition effect amplitudes in controls (2.81 ± 2.9 μV) than in FXTAS (1.43 ± 1.9), with minimal LPC repetition effect amplitudes in MCI/AD (0.0016 ± 2.28). There was a significant main effect of Repetition (F = 17.52, p < 0.0001), but no main effect of Group (F = 2.1, p = 0.13) on the LPC amplitude.  

The 2-group follow-up ANOVA comparing the two patient groups revealed a significant Group × Repetition interaction for the LPC amplitude (F = 5.28, p = 0.026), confirming that individuals with FXTAS have larger LPC repetition effect than MCI/AD patients. The main effect of Repetition was marginal (F = 3.42, p = 0.07) within these patient groups. There was no significant main effect of Group (F = 1.54, p = 0.22 on LPC amplitude), nor any other significant interaction involving Group.  

3.5. Regression analyses of ERPs and CVLT performance  

Across all 3 groups, both LPC repetition effect amplitude at Pz and N400 repetition effect amplitude at T6 entered as significant predictors in all 6 forward-step linear regression models of the CVLT measures (Table 2). For 5 of these models, the LPC repetition effect entered first, except for the model of CVLT short delay cued recall, in which the N400 repetition effect entered first (see Table 2 for details). Age and sex were insignificant (i.e., did not enter) in any of these models.  

Linear regression models with the same parameters were also constructed for each patient group. Within the MCI/AD group, the LPC repetition effect amplitude entered as the only significant predictor of scores on the CVLT List A trials 1–5 (β = 0.73, p < 0.0001), and on both Short and Long Delay Free Recall measures (β = 0.44, p = 0.036 and β = 0.42, p = 0.046, respectively). In contrast, for the FXTAS group, only the N400 repetition effect amplitude significantly predicted performance on the CVLT (all 6 measures, 0.62 < β < −0.47, ps < 0.025).  

3.6. Sensitivity and specificity of ERP repetition effects  

By applying the 16th percentile in normal elderly as cutoff amplitudes for N400 (−0.56 μV at T6) and LPC (0.49 μV at Pz) repetition effects (Fig. 2B and C), we found the sensitivity of the LPC repetition effect cutoff was 64% in MCI/AD and 32% in FXTAS, with 84% of controls correctly identified (i.e. 84% specificity). The N400 repetition effect cutoff had a 56% sensitivity to both MCI/AD and FXTAS, with 84% of controls correctly classified. Among the MCI/AD patients, 84% had either an abnormal/reduced N400 or LPC repetition effect, as was also observed in 60% of the FXTAS patients.  

4. Discussion  

In this ERP study of language and memory processes, both FXTAS and MCI/early AD patient groups showed similar, marked reductions in the N400 component, with decreased amplitudes in the N400 repetition effect as well as the N400 congruity effect compared to normal controls. The N400 component, strongly correlated with semantic processing load, (Chwilla et al., 1995; Kutas & Hillyard, 1984) is thought to reflect semantic/conceptual activation or other implicit memory processes (Olichney et al., 2000; Kutas & Federmeier, 2011), FN400 prominent at mid-frontal scalp sites has been linked to the familiarity process of recognition memory (Rugg & Curran, 2007; Yu & Rugg, 2010; Addante, Ranganath, & Yonelinas, 2012). Previous studies have consistently found N400 effect abnormalities (both amplitude and latency) in mild AD, (Olichney & Hillert, 2004), which may relate to early involvement (atrophy, neurofibrillary tangles) of the anterior temporal lobe (Braak & Braak, 1991; McCarthy, Nobre, Bentin, & Spencer, 1995), and the well-known semantic deficits in AD (Butters, Granholm, Salmon, Grant, & Wolfe, 1987). FXTAS intranuclear inclusions are also abundant in lateral and inferior temporal cortical neurons, (Greco et al., 2006), and thus both disorders share these predilection sites. Glutamatergic signaling abnormalities may also be important in both these disorders (Reisberg et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2012), and contribute to the loss of the normal N400 repetition effect, which has been shown to be significantly disrupted by the NMDA receptor antagonist ketamine in a study with intracranial electrodes implanted in human hippocampus (Grunwald et al., 1999).  

In contrast to the N400 results, FXTAS patients demonstrated relatively spared LPC word repetition effects compared to the MCI/ Early AD patient group with comparable global cognitive impairment. We believe this is related to the relative sparing of episodic memory and memory-related neural circuits in most patients with mild-to-moderate FXTAS (Olichney et al., 2010a). Despite the relatively high concentration of intranuclear inclusions in the hippocampus of FXTAS brains, the connectivity of the medial temporal lobe and neocortex may be more preserved in FXTAS than in early AD, which has been described as a severe hippocampal disconnection syndrome (Hyman, Van Hoesen, Damasio, & Barnes, 1984; Zhou et al., 2010). Depth recording studies have found putative P600 generators in the hippocampus,
parahippocampal gyrus (PHG), cingulate cortex and several regions of multi-modal association neocortex (Halgren et al., 1994). By adapting our word repetition paradigm for functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), we have identified congruous word repetition effects (New > Old BOLD response) in left PHG, hippocampus, middle and superior temporal gyri (MTG and STG), inferior and middle frontal gyri (IFG and MFG), inferior parietal lobule (IPL), insula, bilateral fusiform, and cingulate cortex (Olichney et al., 2010b). As mentioned earlier, the LPC repetition effect is highly sensitive to early AD (Olichney et al., 2006), and decreased amplitude is associated with poorer outcome in amnesic MCI (Olichney et al., 2008).

The more pervasive loss of ERP word repetition effects (both LPC and N400) in very early AD is consistent with a more generalized loss of synaptic plasticity and memory processes than in FXTAS, or in chronic amnesia due to Korsakoff's syndrome or circumscribed medial temporal lobe lesions (Olichney et al., 2000). Multiple basic research studies have demonstrated that altered synaptic function and synaptic plasticity occur very early in AD pathogenesis models (Klein, 2006; Mucke & Selkoe, 2012).

As hypothesized, we found strong correlations between LPC repetition effect amplitude and verbal learning and memory abilities. This is consistent with our past studies in normal subjects, chronic amnesia, and MCI. Consistent with prior studies, the present study found that N400 was the strongest predictor of the CVLT short delay cued recall, (Helinstaedter, Kurthen, Linke, &...
Elger, 1997), while the LPC repetition effect was the strongest predictor of all the long delay measures on the CVLT (Olichney et al., 2000, 2002). It should be noted that the regression analyses done within FXTAS found that the N400 repetition effect amplitude correlated significantly with all 6 measures of verbal learning and memory abilities on the CVLT. In a ERP substudy of the first placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomized clinical trial conducted in FXTAS, we have recently reported that one year of memantine treatment benefited individuals with FXTAS in the N400 repetition effect and recall memory performance, which were positively correlated with each other (Yang et al., 2014). Taken together, these findings suggest that the neural circuits which generate and modulate N400 may be responsible for their deficits in verbal learning and memory, and sensitive to modest improvement in verbal memory produced by memantine. Future work appears warranted to see if N400 abnormalities might be a useful biomarker for tracking FXTAS neuropathology of response to treatment designed to improve their learning and memory.

We found impaired verbal learning and memory on the CVLT in FXTAS patients, but these impairments are generally milder than prodromal/early AD. A prior study of FXTAS dementia and AD (Seritan et al., 2008), did not find intergroup differences in working memory, but did not analyze any measures of episodic memory. We are not aware of any publications comparing CVLT performance in FXTAS to AD or MCI, and report here that FXTAS patients have milder deficits in verbal learning and memory, compared to prodromal AD patients of comparable global deficits. Thus, these CVLT measures, like the ERP LPC repetition effect, both show promise in potentially differentiating the learning and memory impairment due to FXTAS versus early AD.

In conclusion, we found that the MCI stage of AD had a more generalized loss of ERP word repetition effects than mild FXTAS. This may be related to the multiple mechanisms through which amyloid species, both soluble and insoluble, have been shown to disrupt synaptic plasticity (Walsh, Klyubin, Fadeeva, Rowan, & Selkoe, 2002; Shankar et al., 2006; Lesné et al., 2006). Although the LPC repetition effect was less affected in FXTAS, both patient groups had very similar N400 abnormalities. FXTAS is also a disorder with synaptic dysfunction, but via a different mechanism. Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein (FMRP) is an mRNA binding protein concentrated in synaptic terminals, where it regulates the translation/expression of numerous synaptic proteins, including ampyloid precursor protein (APP) (Westmark & Malter, 2007). Low levels of FMRP are thought to cause important increases in mGlur-mediated long-term depression (LTD), as was firstly identified in FMR1 knock-out mice (Huber, Gallagher, Warren, & Bear, 2002; Bear, Huber, & Warren, 2004). Mouse models of the fragile X premutation have found reduced induction of long-term potentiation (LTP) and increases in both NMDA and mGlur-mediated LTD (Hunsaker, Kim, Willemsen, & Berman, 2012). These effects are large 10–20 min post-conditioning, and then became smaller and insignificant by 40–50 min (Hunsaker et al., 2012). Hippocampal neurons from mice with the fragile X premutation show a clustered burst firing pattern and imbalanced excitatory–inhibitory (i.e., glutamate-GABA) neurotransmission (Cao et al., 2012). Further studies with cognitive ERP/EEG and brain-imaging modalities are needed to determine the macroscopic effects of the synaptic dysfunction in both FXTAS and AD, and elucidate their impact on memory, language and other cognitive processes.

Future advances in our understanding of memory in neurodegenerative disorders will likely require us to move beyond lesion models of memory loss. Both AD and FXTAS have diffuse neuro-pathological lesions, and can be well-conceptualized as disorders of synaptic function. In the case of FXTAS, the MTL–cortical connectivity appears to be relatively spared, at least as assessed by the LPC repetition effect, compared to prodromal AD. We provide evidence here that dysfunction of the N400 generators, thought to reside mainly in temporal neocortex, makes an important contribution to the verbal learning and memory deficits in patients with FXTAS. In contrast, the memory deficits in amnestic MCI appear to be primarily due to dysfunction in the MTL–neocortical circuits which modulate the LPC repetition effect. Finally, it should be noted that the LPC and N400 repetition effects had independent and significant effects on verbal learning and memory when analyzed across the whole sample of 75 elderly persons. These components appear to represent independent, complementary memory functions, with LPC being more strongly predictive of most longer delayed measures (dependent on memory storage and later retrieval), while N400 has more substantial effects on learning/acquisition and short delayed recall, and was more sensitive to the memory dysfunction in FXTAS.
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